

Seismic Risk Mitigation in Palestine

SASPARM Project

Urban Planning and Disaster Risk Reduction Center at An Najah National University

1. Introduction

The overall goal of the Disaster Risk Mitigation (DRM) programmes are to ensure a safe life and sustainable livelihood for the population by minimizing the effects of natural disasters through appropriate use of natural resources, fostering a safe environment, and improved coping mechanisms, thus contributing to poverty reduction and sustainable development in the world. The national, regional and international DRM Programmes should enhance and support the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015 “Building the Resilience of Nations and Communities to Disasters” at the local, national, and, optimally, regional level.

During the last three decades, there has been a continuous evolution in the practice of disaster management emphasizing disaster reduction. International organizations including the UN and World Bank are emphasizing and encouraging countries to shift relief, response and humanitarian support towards disaster preparedness, and in doing so integrate disaster management into planning policies of the governments. The efforts towards this development paradigm have been well received in many countries. For this, many nations have established national and local bodies mandated to coordinate disaster mitigation activities, and integrate disaster reduction into development projects and programmes.

National capacities for DRM are generally weak at all levels in most of the countries in the region, but they are especially critical (worst) in Palestine. This is due the uniqueness of the Palestinian case (the effects of occupation upon sustainable development in the oPt, lack of resources, lack of building codes and land use planning as well as very weak institutional capacities).

In fact, there are a number of challenges in the field of Disaster Risk Mitigation (DRM) in Palestine, notably natural hazards such as earthquakes, floods, desertification and droughts. Seismological studies show that there is a high probability of damaging earthquakes occurring in the region. At the same time, engineering studies show that seismic vulnerability of common buildings and infrastructures in the oPt is high. Major events triggered by climate changes and seismic activities may become trans-boundary.

The objectives of this report are to help establish a palestinian data bank of DRM and further help identify the needs of Palestine in the field of disaster risk reduction and management. In addition to assess the seismic risk (hazard, vulnerabilities and capacity) and to develop a national database.

2. Disaster Risk Assessment and Disaster Risk Mitigation

The process of disaster risk management embraces a wide range of linked activities and assessment, decision making, planning, testing, implementing and feedback. It covers the entire disaster spectrum from preventive action to all stages of recovery. Disaster management is not an isolated activity, it is best regarded as an integrated element in many sectors, including health, agriculture, public works, and economic activity, as well as within government line ministries that control such activities. Risk management is the basis for informed (scientifically based) decision-making for all aspects of disaster risk management: risk assessment, prevention, preparedness, response and recovery (see figure. 1).




Figure (1): Schematic illustration of the link between risk assessment and risk management.


Seismic Risk Assessment

The extent of damage caused by disasters depends on the vulnerability of the affected areas. Harmful impacts of disasters, or in other words the risk level, depends on the following main parameters: severity of hazard events, vulnerability of the affected areas and the institutional capacity. Prior hazard forecasting and improving the resilience of people and property would certainly help in reducing the impacts of hazards. The sequences of disaster planning have the following stages: risk assessment, defining levels of acceptable risk, preparedness and mitigation planning (see figure 1), testing the plan and feedback from lessons and lessons learnt. Risk assessment is ideally a three part process that has to be undertaken in the following sequence: hazard mapping, vulnerability assessment and resource assessment.

Hazard Mapping (Natural Hazards)

Palestine is highly vulnerable to natural hazards: mainly earthquakes, floods, landslides, droughts and desertification. The whole region frequently faces small to mid-scale disasters and bears a high potential for large-scale (urban) disasters. Details on natural disasters are given in table 1. Seismicity in the oPt is largely affected and controlled by the geodynamic processes acting along the Dead Sea Transform- DST. The DST is a left-lateral fault between the Arabia and the Sinai tectonic plates that transfers the opening at the Red Sea to the Taurus-Zagros collision zone (figure 2). The left-lateral shear along the Dead Sea transform

since the middle Miocene explains the systematic 105 km offset of numerous pre-Miocene geologic features (Quennell 1959 and 1983, Freund 1968).



(Abou Karaki 1987, Shapira 1988, Ambraseys et al 1994) for the period 1000-2007. Also shown is the studies (Al-Tarazi 1994 and 1999) and DESERT deep seismic sounding line (Weber 2004,).

Figure (2): Seismicity map of the Dead Sea transform region

It is also consistent with paleoseismic and archaeoseismic observations revealed in the sedimentary and archaeological sections excavated along the DST (El-Isa 1987). The estimated MMS intensities of historical earthquakes in the Dead Sea region reach up to IX, where the determinable magnitudes of the recorded earthquakes range between 1.0 and 6.5, on the local magnitude scale, ML (Shapira 1983, 1987,1988, Abou Karaki 1987). Seismic information including historic and prehistoric data indicate that major destructive earthquakes have occurred in the Jordan- Dead- Sea region, causing several cases of severe devastation and many hundreds and sometimes thousands of fatal casualties (Al-Tarazi 1994 and 1999).

The instrumental seismicity of the region shows a concentration of earthquake activity along the major trends of the Rift and its associated zones. Based on the location and the seismicity of the region, an earthquake of magnitude more than 6 is expected to happen. Taking into consideration the earthquake which occurred in 1927 (6.25 magnitude and epicenters some 15 km north of the Dead sea), a major destructive earthquake is expected at any time in the near future and will be epicentered in the north of the Dead Sea, causing severe damage and loss due largely to the high vulnerability of common buildings. On the other hand the predicted earthquake could be epicentered in the southern part of the Dead Sea according to other studies in the region.

Based on the seismic peak ground acceleration map (PGA Map) for the region, Palestine has the following zones: 1, 2A, 2B and 3 (see figure 3). According to the Uniform Building Code (UBC97), International Building Code (IBC), Jordanian Building Code 2008 and Arab Uniform Code 2006, the seismic zone factor (Z) on the rock for the above mentioned zones is presented in figure 3. Therefore, Palestine will be considered as moderate to relative strong seismic areas.




Figure 3: Seismic Hazard Map and Seismic Zone Factor (Source ESSEC, USAID-MERC (M18-057), Project)

Local site effect (landslides, liquefaction, amplification and faulting systems) play an important role in the intensity of earthquakes. Thus, Earthquake-resistant designs of new structures and the evaluation of seismic vulnerability of existing buildings are taken into account in regards to site ground motions. The topography and geology of the West Bank have been the main reasons behind several quite large landslides occurring over the past ten years (Jardaneh, Al Dabbeek and Al Jawhari, 2004). Also, historical earthquakes indicate that historical Palestine suffered with several landslides. *Due to its geology and location the Gaza Strip is expected to face liquefaction phenomena in several areas if a strong earthquake occurred in the region in the future.*

Recent studies of large destructive earthquakes have shown that damages during the earthquakes are often caused by the amplification of seismic waves in near-surface geology, where the post disaster damage assessment showed that the local site effect may have a dominant contribution to the intensity of damage and destruction (Al Dabbeek and El Kelani, 2005). The effects of local geology on ground-motion amplification and building damage were studied recently in few areas in the West Bank (Al Dabbeek and El-Kilani, 2008). The results showed that the amplification factor varied between around 1 and 9 and even when the studied areas were small. *To avoid the site effect: landslides, liquefaction, amplification and faulting systems in OPT, microzonation maps are needed.*

□ **Table 1: Main types of hazards expected in oPt.**

Hazard Type	Probability of Occurrence	Probability of Damage	Priority **	Total damage in last 10 years	Last severe events
Floods	Low	Low -limited	third	Millions of dollars	1963,1966,1987, 1991, 2013
Earthquakes	High	High	first	*	1927
Droughts	Medium	High in the long run	second	Tens of millions	————
Land / mud slides, rock falls and avalanches	Medium	Medium-High	second	Millions	1992,1997, 2003 2005, 2012 ***
Epidemic outbreaks of disease	Low	Low	third	Millions	1981, 2010
Industrial accidents	High	Medium-High	first	Millions	1999, 2006
Population displacement /refugee influx	High	High	first	Tens of millions	2001-2006
Sea Disasters	Medium	High in the long run	second	Tens of Millions	————
Pollution of Underground water	High	High	second	Tens of millions	————
Desertification	High	High in the long run	second	Tens of millions	————
Occupation, Wars	High	High	first	Billions	2000-2006, 2008 – 2009, 2012

* The earthquakes which hit the area in 1995 and 2004 had a limited effect on Palestine, but there is a possibility that the human losses may exceed thousands and the financial losses may exceed hundreds of millions (billions) of dollars if an earthquake of 6-7 magnitude on Richter scale hits the area and the epicenter is in Jordan Valley or Al-Jalil in the North.

** The priority was taken based on the following:

- Probability of occurrence.
- Probability of damage.

- Number of expected human losses and injuries and value of expected damage to national resources.

*** Limited landslides

Due to its geopolitical situation, geographical and geological position, Palestine could suffer in the future from the Hazard types shown in table 1. Also, due to the limited resources and high vulnerability, it is expected that the effect of most of the above risks will be high. It will adversely affect the economy, society, environment, health and other sectors. Recent earthquake engineering studies reveal that Palestine region has a medium or medium-high level of seismic hazard. Consequently, all available techniques must be used to mitigate the risk of expected large earthquakes that may shake the area.

Seismic Vulnerability Assessment

The next stage is to assess the vulnerability of persons or property to the hazard which has been mapped. These include social, economic, physical and environmental factors. Vulnerability analysis is always a 'site-specific' process with a concern for the unique characteristics of a local situation.

Like many countries, Palestine faces the challenges of rapid urbanization. Most of Palestinian cities are located in earthquake prone areas. This directly contributes to a rapid increase in the vulnerability in terms of the number of people and infrastructures exposed. A great variety of infrastructures exist in building materials, design and construction quality: structural and non-structural elements are common. Seismic-proof construction is rarely observed and building codes in design and practices are not enforced.


Recent studies on the seismic "vulnerability of buildings" for the main Palestinian cities (Jerusalem, Hebron, Ramallah, Nablus, Jenin, Tulkarem and Jericho) have been conducted by the Earth Sciences and Seismic Engineering Unit at An-Najah National University (Al-Dabbeek and Abdel Hakeem 2003, and Al-Dabbeek 2007a and 2007b). The results showed that around one third of the investigated buildings belong to the class A of seismic vulnerability "according to the European Macro-seismic scale 1998" (many buildings will suffer heavy damage), whereas about 40 percent of the buildings are in class B (many buildings will suffer moderate damage), see figure (3).

Based on these vulnerability indicators and expected earthquake intensities, total damage of 5-15% and partial damage of 20% are predicted in some areas of Palestinian cities. The high vulnerability to damages and losses in the buildings and infrastructures in oPt are a direct result of the high percentage of weak buildings and infrastructures that do not comply with seismic resistant requirements. This situation was created by the following major factors:

- Bad construction practices and common fatal design mistakes of the buildings (soft storey, short column, lack of verticality and continuity of vertical structural elements, i.e. very high eccentricity and bad quality of material and workmanship).
- Lack of a national code for seismic design and construction;
- Absence of national legislative laws and regulations for protection against earthquakes; and
- Absence of effective mechanisms for control of application (design and construction) and enforcement of regulations.

Classes A, B, C according to EMS-1998

Figure (3): Seismic Vulnerability of Palestinian Common Buildings (Al-Dabbeek 2007a)



The rapid assessment and site investigations in many areas in the West Bank showed that several urban areas have high vulnerability to landslides and the probability of these occurrences will be increased if these areas are hit by strong earthquakes. Lack of land use, planning code, random urban expansion and management practices have increased the vulnerability of seismic site effects (landslides, liquefaction and amplification, see list).

In addition to the above mentioned vulnerabilities of properties, persons and institutions in the oPt could be classified as highly vulnerable. These include social, economic, physical and environmental factors.

Assessment of Capacities

Assessment of hazards and vulnerability will reveal a range of critical problems which precedes the final analysis of available resources, often termed an 'assessment of capacities'. These can cover a wide diversity of elements: community coping mechanisms that help them to survive under hazard conditions, local leaders and institutions that can fulfill a spirited role in times of serious need, community facilities, cash, credit, the location and quantity of goods that may be needed in an emergency etc.

The status of existing legislation, capacity, awareness, training, institutional framework for disaster risk management, trans-boundary and regional cooperation were also assessed. Level of awareness and training, legislation, infrastructure and institutional capacities to cope with disasters can influence vulnerability.

Based on interviews, miniworkshops and analysis of data obtained from scientific research studies, the resources assessment (capacities) in Palestine have shown important conclusions, for more details see paragraph 6.

3. Mitigation Planning and Preparedness

Prevention and mitigation and are the mechanisms for breaking the cycle of repetitive damage and reconstruction. The impact of disaster is most of all felt at the community level. Governments should therefore facilitate the development of community based disaster management. Policy level support should however not limit itself to relief and recovery activities. Although governments should aim at the integration of mitigation concepts in community development planning and should see non-governmental organizations as the principal enablers of community based mitigation techniques and therefore seek their active participation. Governments should therefore stimulate training in disaster management at all levels of society, this training should not only aim at raising awareness, but also try to improve the understanding of the disaster process, develop skills and enhance self – realization.

The risk will only be high when people, structures and values are exposed to hazards (exposure model) and if their vulnerability is significant. Low density of population, proper land use, safe constructions, good preparation and emergency response national programs etc., will result in lower risk even in high hazard areas. Consequently, the primary task is to reduce vulnerability through a scientifically based understanding of causes and effects, strategies, methods and technologies.

Strengthening disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels was considered one of the five priorities in Hyogo Framework for Action. Disaster preparedness is distinctive because it represents the important linkage between the disaster risk reduction activities and the operational abilities most often identified with emergency (or disaster) management. The main components of disaster preparedness and response are:

- Organizational capacities and coordination.
- Preparedness and contingency planning.
- Emergency response mechanisms.
- Participation and voluntarism.

Strengthened preparedness for disaster response is concerned with two main objectives:

- Reducing or avoiding possible damages of potential or impending threats.
- Being ready to assist those who have been adversely affected by a disaster and need help beyond their usual coping mechanisms.

Reaching an effective preparedness level, with the ability to define and carry out response plans, requires certain foundations. A strengthened institutional structure, capacities and approved legislative framework, including resource allocation, are the basis to define multi – stakeholder preparedness measures and responsibilities. Risk identification, including hazard monitoring, vulnerability analysis and early warning systems, provide the tools for preparedness and contingency planning. Public awareness, knowledge development and communication systems facilitate the understanding and culture to apply preparedness in contingency plans. The identification of additional and underlying risk factors contributes to refine preparedness and contingency measures and plans.

National Policy on Disaster Risk Mitigation

Currently, there's no clear legislation regarding DRM in the oPt. The Palestinian case is very unique because of the occupation. There is no national control on the airports, harbors and borders. There is no national force or army and also most of the governmental institutions are not capable of meeting their obligations as per their mandates due to the restrictions imposed as a result of occupation. This applies to the Civil Defense and other related governmental institutions. The result of this has been an increase in the role of the non-governmental organizations in providing assistance and the increased need for this assistance in many aspects of life. This is obvious when looking at NGOs such as the Palestinian Red Crescent Society (PRCS) and other health relief and emergency medical organizations and societies.

The Higher Council of Civil Defense (HCCD) represents the main body of the Palestinian governmental institutions. It was established in 1998 based on the civil defense law # 3 for year 1998, and based on a decision by the board of ministers. The members include all ministries and governmental bodies and the HCCD is chaired by the minister of internal affairs, whereas the non-governmental organizations are represented as supervisor's members

in the board. In addition to the HCCD, the Palestinian Red Crescent Society has paid special attention to the issue of disasters since it was established in 1968.

4. General Information about Risk Mitigation Organizations

As previously mentioned, the Palestinian situation represents a unique case. There is no access to airports or harbors and the armed forces are nonexistent. This inability to control the territories applies to civil defense and other related governmental institutions as well. On the other hand, the possibility of non-governmental organizations providing more assistance and playing a more active role is increased. This is obvious when looking at some NGOs like Palestinian Red Crescent and other health relief and emergency medical organizations and societies. These have good capabilities and experience compared to other organizations in the region. Concerning the awareness, training and studies relating to disaster risk reduction management, many organizations were founded and played a major role in educating people on natural disasters like earthquakes and landslides. The Earth Sciences and Seismic Engineering Unit/ Urban planning and Disaster Risk Reduction Center of An-Najah National University is the only center in Palestine in this field and its activities target all citizens, professionals and decision makers.

For the purpose of collecting a national database about the organizations working in the disaster risk reduction area, questionnaires and interviews have been conducted with the decision makers in GOs and NGOs.

Governmental Organizations (GOs)

The Higher Council of Civil Defense (HCCD)

Represents the national body for disaster management, headed by the Minister of Interior and the Director General of Palestinian Civil Defense.

The Ministry of Interior

Based on the law, the minister is considered the head of the HCCD. He has the authority to decide the necessary measures and actions to be taken in case of emergency. He has also the higher authority on the security forces, transportation, water, electricity, oils and food and to take any decisions for the benefit of the civil defense. The Civil Defense (CD) works under the Ministry of Interior, in addition to its important role in managing and coordinating HCCD activities. Also the CD manages and conducts the work of emergency and disaster teams.

The Ministry of Planning

The mission of the ministry is to support national development initiatives, establish, implement and monitor economic and development plans, as well as activate technical, financial and economic cooperation with the donors, international organizations and financing institutions to support and implement national development projects.

The Ministry of Health and related organizations:

The Ministry of Health works in emergency conditions all the time because of the political and security conditions. Also the resources they have are limited compared to the urgent and high demands made of it as a result of political situation. The ministry works within the capabilities available without a clearly defined plan to deal with disasters.

The Ministry of Local Government (Municipalities and Village Councils)

Dedicate all available resources for the rescue operations and all related actions in addition to their normal activities related to issuing building licenses; maintaining infrastructures and many other sectors of the people's life.

The Ministry of Public Works and Housing

Dedicate all available resources for the rescue operations and all related actions and responsibility for all engineering works and construction in the governmental sector.

The Ministry of Transport

Collect a database of the owners of heavy duty equipment and other tools (Bulldozers, Excavators, trucks, loaders, cranes etc.), as well as monitoring and forecasting the weather conditions through the meteorological department.

The Youth Sector (Ministry of Education, Ministry of Youth and sports)

All human resources, assets and capabilities will be devoted to support in the emergency rescue and evacuation in addition to assisting in maintaining the security.

The Ministry of Agriculture

Work on the protection of the agricultural land to mitigate the effect of possible desertification, drought, frost and locusts by establishing special strategies and plans

Other GOs, related to risk reduction: The Ministry of Telecommunications and Information Technology, the Media, the Water Authority and Environmental Quality Authority.

Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), Universities and Private Sector

The Palestinian Red Crescent Society (PRCS)

The Palestinian Red Crescent Society has paid special attention to the issue of disasters since it was established in 1968; PRCS is considered one of the most important organizations in this area. Its activities cover all of the Palestinian territory. It has played a very effective and major role during the second Intifada. This concern developed gradually until it became a major part of the work of the society and complementary to its social and health targets. PRCS has 22 branches covering all governorates and distributed in the West Bank and Gaza.

Urban Planning and Disaster Risk Reduction (UPDRRC) Center / Earth Sciences and Seismic Engineering (ESSE) Unit

The UPDRR center at An-Najah National University is the only specialist resource in Palestine in the fields of Natural Risk Assessment, Risk Management, Seismic Risk Mitigation and Earthquake Engineering. Since its establishment in September 1996, ESSE oriented its activities (awareness, training, teaching studies and research) to cover all sectors of people like citizens, professionals and decision makers.

The National Agency for Disaster Risk Mitigation (NADRM)

The National Agency for Disaster Risk Mitigation was founded July 31st 2006. It has been responsible for the formation of a national framework that gathers all of the NGOs working in the field of disaster management and emergency support, in addition to planning and conducting Capacity Building and Quality Assurance Programs for the concerned organizations.

Other NGOs, related to risk reduction: The Palestinian Engineer's Association, The Palestinian Contractor's Union, Health Care (HR), Union of Health Care Committees, Union of Health work committees/ Gaza Strip, Friends of the patient charity/ Gaza Strip, Research Centre land, Union Committees of Agriculture Relief, Hydrological Group, Environmental Friends Association/ Gaza Strip and the Applied Research Institute – Jerusalem (ARIJ).

Concerning the universities, they teach subjects related to geology, environment and water. In Gaza the Islamic University has the Center of Environment Studies while Al-Azhar University has the Center for Water Studies. In the West Bank, An-Najah University has the Water Environmental Studies Institute while Bir Zeit University has a center for water studies as well. The role of these centers is more connected to a specialised sector of disaster management which is limiting the effects of water drought and environmental impact rather than disaster management in general.

Other governmental and nongovernmental organizations related to risk reduction in oPt, in addition to the above mentioned organizations (GOs and NGOs), are presented in special tables, the table contains addresses and contact persons for each organization. Also, the comprehensive file of the study contains national resources (materials and equipment) available for emergency response.

5. Monitoring and Evaluation

Evaluation is "an assessment, as systematic and objective as possible, of an on-going or completed project or policy, its design, implementation and results". Evaluations are analytical exercises, focusing on project outputs and especially outcomes or impact. Good evaluation is essential for effective project and programme management.

In addition to that, the monitoring and evaluation process ensures the following benefits:

- Evaluation is the main key by which agencies seek to learn lessons from their work and incorporate them into policy and practice.
- Organizational learning (through evaluation) is a prerequisite for knowledge transfer between agencies.
- Evaluation is often the only consolidated source showing how a project or programme progressed.
- Evaluations are a means of retaining and building institutional memory.
- Evaluations question and test basic assumptions and create a space for lesson learning.
- Learning from experience is particularly valuable at times of policy uncertainty.

The monitoring and evaluation systems in disaster risk management in oPt (West Bank and Gaza Strip) are very limited and not integrated into mitigation activities, emergency response and recovery aspects. Experiences and practices in oPt in emergency responses to various disasters (ex. man-made disasters) should be evaluated and shared with other countries in the region. Sharing experiences could improve the coordination and collaboration not only between the countries but also between the related Palestinian organizations. Additionally, establishing a unified catalogue for monitoring and evaluation should have the priority.

6. Discussion and Concluding Remarks

National policies and legislations are focused towards rescue and relief activities. There is a

need to shift this to disaster preparedness and prevention and incorporating disaster management into the development plans of the country, considering the disaster as national priority towards the implementation of “*Hyogo Framework for Action*”.

However, it is observed that there is a lack of coordinated efforts among various departments, coordination between centers and local administrative bodies and clear definition of the roles and responsibilities towards disaster mitigation and management. But, decentralization cannot be considered as a single rule for disaster management and preparedness as there is a need for a centralized database which should be accessible to all organizations that are involved in planning and formulating disaster management and mitigation activities within the country and the region. In most of the organizations related to risk mitigation, the data related to the bio-physical and socio economic are either not available or are in discrete, not easy to use formats. These data should be added and in cases not available, should be generated and organized in a usable format (ideally in GIS) which would be a crucial tool for hazard prevention strategy planning.

Gaps were identified based on risk assessment and review of the existing legislation, institutional framework and capacity of the oPt. Recommendations were provided to address these gaps, which can be found at the end of this report. In the oPt many cities have been damaged by disasters in the past and the cities have then made reconstruction plans and carried out this urban reconstruction. By analyzing these cases it is possible that we have the many elements which are needed to form the basic planning of disaster prevention in the oPt and in the wider region.

Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs): International Federation of the Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies are active in the oPt and in some cases play a leading role in disaster preparedness and response. These organizations are well coordinated with the concerned governmental departments. There is one academic and research center within the country, particularly working in the field of seismology, earthquake engineering and DRM. Initiatives on regional cooperation are very limited, donors and humanitarian organizations working in oPt can play a crucial role in developing regional cooperation.

One of the main gaps identified during this study is availability of reliable sub-national level data that is crucial for any vulnerability assessment. A centralized database on variables required for vulnerability and risk assessment, risk modeling and preparing management plans at country and regional level needs to be generated. Some organizations already have some data available in GIS format, which needs to be integrated into a common database. Data design should be developed and stored in a versatile format for easy retrieval, analysis and update. The data will help identification of vulnerable zones, formulate land use planning strategies and develop regional plans for disaster mitigation and preparedness.

Earthquakes, floods, landslides, droughts and desertification are the main natural hazards in the oPt. Also, the frequency and vulnerability due to technological related hazards are increasing in the oPt. Based on interviews, miniworkshops and analysis of data obtained from scientific research studies, the study finds out comprehensive conclusions about the following main topics:

- *Regional cooperation and International initiatives*
- *Training and awareness*
- *Institutional structure and capacity*
- *National policy, legislation and strategies*

- Disaster profile and risk assessment

The conclusions are:

- Disaster risk mitigation system in general, as it is outlined in the Hyogo Framework for Action is not yet regulated.
- Lacking awareness of the natural hazard, human vulnerability and related economic and social risks;
- Settlement in risk areas (e.g. along active faults, in low-level coastal area, near steep slopes or cliffs, in flood plains, on unstable grounds etc.).
- Unknown or ignored natural site conditions, such as soil liquefaction potential, sub-soil amplification characteristics attenuation laws of seismic waves, landslides, etc;
- The vulnerability of the Palestinian buildings and infrastructures to earthquakes is very high.
- Absence of codes, rules and regulations which emphasize the safety requirements in the buildings (Note: in the last year the Jordanian Seismic Building Code have been adopted by related Palestinian Institutions).
- Absence of land use policy / planning.
- Absence of effective mechanisms for control of application and enforcement of regulations.
- Weaknesses of national programs and public policies on preparedness, mitigation, and emergency response.
- Weak institutional capacity in disaster management and rescue operations.
- Lack of awareness by citizens and weak capacity of professionals, engineers, and decision makers.
- Lack of capacity and training in disaster risk management and policy implementation at government level.
- Lack of coordination between central and the local level authorities in disaster management activities.
- Legal frameworks for disaster risk mitigation are very limited. Absence of a clear and comprehensive national and municipal plans for disaster management and emergency response plans.
- The role of the private sector in disaster reduction is also not adequate
- Lack of appropriate support for the civil defense in terms of resources, training and other needs, which resulted in lack of specialized and well trained human resources in rescue operations.
- Lack of adequate coordination among different governmental and non governmental organizations and the private sector as well.
- Absence of well equipped operations central rooms on the national levels covering different governorates.
- The unique Palestinian case: no geographic connection between the Gaza Strip and West Bank and the complications caused by the occupation will delay disaster management, plus the nonexistence of a Palestinian armed forces able to greatly help in the crisis management and rescue operations.
- Few national bodies are key players in disaster risk management, but most of them are facing considerable capacity gaps. Also, public responsibilities in disaster risk management are not allocated to one specific relevant authority, but they are shared among different bodies.
- The fact that the area of Palestine is small makes almost all areas vulnerable to disasters but to different levels. This will make disaster support and management more complicated especially in earthquake disasters.
- The location of the oPt between “Israel” and Jordan in addition to its very small area, make it very vulnerable to different types of disasters. The emergency support from these countries

will be very limited since they will also be affected by the disaster.

1. Recommendations

The overall recommendations provided in this report have been deduced based on the reviewed of available historical data on hazards and their impact, capacity and vulnerability assessment, the lack of readiness of the Palestinian people and institutions, the absence of effective management for disasters and emergency response as well as following up the requirements plus declarations and recommendations of the international organizations related to DRR, such as the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015 and the Arab Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction 2011-2020.

In order to benefit from the strengths of Palestinian society and to minimize the consequences of the above mentioned gaps, there is an urgent need to adopt the following recommendations taking into consideration the national priorities:

a) National Policies, Legislation and Enforcement:

To reduce vulnerabilities and losses of life, properties and infrastructure, the institutional capacities for seismic risk mitigation should be enhanced through:

- Adopting Hugo Framework for Action for the Decay 2005 – 2015 and the Arab Strategy for Disaster Risk Reduction 2011-2020, as well as encourage the international organizations to consider this in future development projects.
- Establish National Platform for Dister Risk Reduction DRR.
- Apply the building codes requirements including the seismic requirements in design and practices (due to the absence of Palestinian Seismic Building Code and based on the decisions of releted Palestinian Ministires and Organizations, the Jordanian Seismic Building Code could be adopted) and find a mechanism to enforce its application. Prepare and adopt a time frame in cooperation with concerned institutions.
- Find legislations and mechanisms to oblige concerned local authorities to adopt the engineering supervision on the construction of new buildings as first step and retrofiting the existing buildings as second step.
- Apply the regulations of the public safety on the buildings and different structures.

Based on the first priority of Hyogo Framework of action a pro-active measure for disaster risk mitigation should be integrated into the country development plan. It is vital to code and enforce legislative standards and requirements, especially the seismic building code and land use planning.

b) Public Awarness:

Building resilience of Palestinian community to disasters through knowledge, advocacy and training, these could be done as follows:

- Ensure that relevent information on seismic risks and events in accessible and available at all levels, to all stakeholders (through networks, development of information sharing systems, regional data base, etc.).
- Increase the public awareness for the safety measures within the Palestinian community.
- Develop special training courses programmes to enhance the engineerng capacities (students, practionar and young researchers) in the fields of risk assessment, seismic vulnerability and seismic design of buildings.
- Encourage concerned institutions to increase the training courses for the local community

including schools, local authorities and others, as well as to conduct regular exercises at all levels.

- Develop special programmes to enhance the capacities of those working in the media on how to perform before, during and after earthquakes and disasters in general.
- Include courses on seismic design of structures and buildings as part of the plans for the engineering faculties in the oPt as compulsory courses. In addition to that, include courses related to public safety and disaster management as elective or compulsory for all faculties in Palestinian universities.

Awareness should also reach the general public and the efforts of organizations to impart training and awareness in schools should be encouraged. The use of media for disseminating awareness programmes should be broadened and encouraged so that the message will reach a larger audience. Since communication to the public is critical in reducing the impact of disasters on the community there is a need to develop risk communications programs as an integral part of all plans, across sectors and from the national to municipal levels. The proposed risk communications programs could incorporate risk awareness, community education about risks and precautionary measures, warning systems and public communication for critical situations.

c) Hazard Mapping (Seismic):

- In addition to macrozonation seismic map (PGA map) which have been produced (see figure 3), the microzonation maps for seismic site effect should be produced, such as: Faulting system, landslides, amplification and liquefaction maps.
- Mapping of landslide prone areas and land use regulation legislation plus develop legislation to control the land use policy in a way that maintains the sustainable development, environment stability and reduces the risks of earthquakes and other natural disasters.

d) Preparedness and emergency response (Capacity):

To ensure national emergency response and disaster management programs in oPt, the following preparedness activities should be implemented through:

- Creating coordination systems for operational emergency centers.
- Developing the Civil Defense capabilities and enhancing the institutional capabilities.
- Establishing crisis communication to and from the public and decision-makers.
- Official arrangements (through the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Civil Defense and the Palestinian Red Crescent) for seeking international assistance.
- Conducting regular exercises for all levels.
- Developing public awareness programmes.
- Preparing and/or developing roles and responsibilities in disaster response at all levels “national, governorates and municipal levels”.
- Developing disease surveillance systems.
- Encourage establishing non-governmental centers and societies in the field of emergency support. Launch foundation of committees for support and voluntary work in all governorates and in corporation with the civil defense centers and societies.
- Prepare and/or develop roles and responsibilities in disaster response at all levels “national, governorates and municipal levels”.
- Consider efficient and logical distribution of hospitals, health centers and all centers related to disasters, so as to cover as much as possible of the areas and to avoid any isolation for any areas.
- Prepare a national database with the disaster management operations room. Also a database

for each governorate or city should be prepared and updated annually.

- Continuous follow up to the international developments in dealing with the disasters. Also establish a network to benefit from others who have experienced natural disasters.

To mitigate the seismic risk and losses of life, properties and infrastructure, the institutional capacities for risk mitigation, disaster management, and emergency response should be enhanced through:

- Establishing clear mechanisms for monitoring.
- Evaluating disaster preparedness and response activities to be able to promote the development of a disaster seismic risk mitigation.
- Monitoring and evaluation to ensure feedback to management systems.
- Holding national workshop and training courses to discuss critical issues in terms of earthquakes and vulnerability reductions.

Taking into consideration the common and shared natural hazards, increasing vulnerability across political boundaries and demographic structure of an ageing population, it is important to develop a framework for regional or sub regional cooperation. An organization having capacity and resources should coordinate (or cooperate with) all related organizations working in DRM such as ministries, NGOs, private organizations and international donor agencies. The organizations should promote partnership of countries in the region, share hazards and trans boundaries issues, in addition to that, it should be equipped with well-trained staff and adequate resources and supported by appropriate legislations and authority for decision making and implementation.

REFERENCES

1. 1Abu Safat, M., 2000. The Effect of Morphology and Rainfall Characteristics on Quick Surface Flow in Small Catchment Areas in the Nablus Highlands. Dirasat, Vol.27, No.1, University of Jordan, (in Arabic).
2. Abu Safat, M., 2008. The Risk of Flood in West-Bank/Palestine. Disasters Management and Safety of Buildings in Arab Countries, Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs. Saudi Arabia. Vol. 1, pp.131-143, Reyadh.
3. AL – Dabbeek j. and Abdel Hakeem, J., 2003. Earthquake Scenarios, “Vulnerability and Expected Seismic Performance of Buildings and lifelines in Palestine,” living with Risk, Expert Workshop, Cairo, Egypt, Dec. 2003.
4. Al-Dabbeek J., and R. El-Kelani, 2005. Dead Sea Earthquake of 11February 2004, ML 5.2: post earthquake damage assessment. The International Earthquake Conference (TINEE), 21-24 November, Dead Sea, Jordan.
5. Al-Dabbeek J., 2007a. Vulnerability, and expected seismic performance of buildings in West Bank, Palestine. The Islamic university Journal (Series of Natural Studies and Engineering), Vol. 15, No. 1, 193-217.
6. Al-Dabbeek J., 2007b. Earthquakes and Our Readiness. Published by Deanship of Scientific Research, An Najah National University, Nablus-Palestine.
7. Al Dabbeek J., and El-Kilani R., 2008. Site Effect and Expected Seismic Performance of
8. Buildings in Palestine- Case Study: Nablus City. 2008 Seismic Engineering International

9. Conference, July 2008, Messina and Reggio Calabria – Italy.
10. Al-Dabbeek J., and R. El-Kelani, 2004. Local site effect in Palestinian cities: a preliminary study based on Nablus earthquake of July 11, 1927 and the earthquake of February 11, 2004. The 1st Conference of Applied Geophysics for Engineering, 13-15 October, Messina, Italy.
11. . Al Dabbeek, J., and El-Kelani R., (2008), “Rapid Assessment of Seismic Vulnerability in Palestinian Refugee Camps”, Journal of Applied Sciences, Journal of Applied Sciences (8): pp 1371-1382, ISSN 1812-5624008 Asian Network for Scientific Information.
12. AL – Dabbeek j. , 2010, “An Assessment on Disaster Risk Reduction in the Occupied Palestinian Territory”, An Najah Univ. Journal (N.Sc.) Vol. 24.
14. Al Dabbeek Jalal, (2010), “Architectural Design of Earthquake-Resistant Buildings” –
15. Architectural and Structural Configuration of Buildings-, An - Najah National University, Dean of Research- Pub- 2010, (a book in arabic language).
16. Al Dabbeek Jalal, (2009), “Earthquakes and Risk Mitigation”, engineering course for
17. nonengineers, An - Najah National University, Dean of Research- Pub- 2009, (a book in arabic language).
18. El-Kelani R., and J. Al-Dabbeek, 2005. 3-Dimensional Mapping of a Landslide in Nablus City, Palestine: A Preliminary Risk Assessment, Urban Engineering Conference, 12th –13th October, Lille, France.
19. Jardaneh, I., Al Dabbeek, J., and Al-Jawhari, A. 2004. Landslides in the White Mountain (Geotechnical Studies and Engineering Tests), Al Azhar university Journal (Natural Sciences)), Vol. 7, No. 2, p 15-26.

National and International Referennces

20. Abou Karaki, N., 1987. “Synthese et Carte Seismotectonique des pays de la Borrdure Orientate de la Mediterranee: Sismicite du System de Failles du Jourdain-MerMorte,” Ph.D. Thesis, in French, Institute de Physique du Globe (IPGS), Univ. Strasbourg, France, p. 417.
21. Afifi, S., 2003. Baseline Budget under the Provision of the SAP In Gaza Strip – Palestinian Authority – August, 2003, The Document is carried out in the framework of the operational strategy of the implementation of the Strategic Action Programme SAP and Funded By MED-POL/ EU.
22. Afifi, S., S. Bahr and A. Elmanama 2002. Evaluation of Seawater and Beach Quality in Gaza Governorate / Palestine, Water and Environment Scientific Journal, Vol. 5, January.
23. Cardona C., R. Davidson, and C. Villacis, 2000. Understanding Urban Seismic Risk Around the Worlds: A Comparative Study of the RADIUS Initiative, RADIUS: Risk Assessment Tools for Diagnosis of Urban Areas Against Seismic Disasters. Geneva, Switzerland: IDNDR Secretariat, United Nations.
24. Charlotte B., and T. John, 2007. Tools for Mainstreaming Disaster Risk Reduction: Guidance Noted for Development Organization, PROVENTION Consortium, Geneva, Switzerland.
25. Earthquake Engineering Research Institute (EERI), 1996. Post-Earthquake Investigation Field Guide- Learning From Earthquakes, 96-1, Qakland, CA, USA.
26. EERI, 2000. Kocaeli, Turkey, Earthquake of August 17, 1999: Reconnaissance Report, Earthquake Spectra Journal, Dec., 16, 2000-3, Oakland, CA, USA.

27. EERI, 2001. The Nisqually Wahington, Earthquake Feb. 28, 2001, Preliminary Reconnaissance Report, Learning from Earthquakes Project, March, Oakland, CA, USA.
28. EERI, 2003. Preliminary Observations on the Tokachi-Oki, Japan, Earthquake of Sept. 26, 2003, EERI Newsletter, Dec., 37, 12, CA, USA.
29. El-Isa, Z.H., 1985. Earthquake studies of some archaeological sites in Jordan, in Hadid, A., ed., Studies in the history and archaeology of Jordan, II: Amman and London, University of Jordan: 229-235.
30. El-Isa, Z., J. Mechie, C. Prodehl, J. Makris and R. Rihm, 1987. A crustal structure study of Jordan derived from seismic refraction data. *Tectonophysics*, Vol. 138: 235-253.
31. El-Isa, Z.H., 1992. Seismicity of the Wadi Araba-Dead Sea Region, *Geology of the Arab World*, 1992, Cairo Uni. 245-253.
32. Fruend, R., I. Zak and Z. Garfunkel, 1968. Age and rate of the sinistral movement along the Dead Sea Rift, *Nature*, V. 220: 253-255.
33. European Macroseismic Scale (1998). Working Group M.S., European
34. Seismological Commission Luxembourg Cahiers du Center European de
35. Geodynamique at de Seismologie, Vo 1. 15.
36. Garfunkel, Z., 1981. Internal structure of the Dead Sea leaky transform (rift) in relation to plate kinematics. *Tectonophysics*, Vol. 80: 81-108.
37. Ginzburg, A., J. Makris, K. Fuchs and C. Prodehl, 1981. The structure of the crust and upper mantle in the Dead Sea Transform. *Tectonophysics*, Vol. 80, p: 109-119.
38. Husein M., A. I. and Fahmi, Kh. J., 1999. "Locally derived earthquake ground motion attenuation relations for Jordan and conterminous areas": *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology*, 29, 309 – 319 November.
39. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, 2006. Vulnerability and capacity assessment Lessons Learned and recommendations, Geneva, Switzerland
40. Lange, J., A. Gunkel, S. Shadeed, C. Fischer, R. Krier, E. Morin, T. Grodek and L., 2008. Menzel. New insights into the variability of water resources in the Jordan River Catchment. European Geosciences Union (EGU) Conference. Vienna, Austria, April.
41. Maxx D., 2005. Natural Disaster Hotspots– A global Risk Analysis, Disaster Risk Management Series No. 5. The world Bank Hazard Management Unit, Washington.
42. Reports of the Palesstinian Central Bureau for Statistics (PCBS) 2008, www.pcbs.gov.ps
43. Quennell, A.M., 1959. Tectonic of the Dead Sea Transform. *International Geological Congress*, Maxico City, Vol. 22: 385-405.
44. Quennell, A.M., 1983. Evolution of the Dead Sea Transform. A review in Abed and Khaled (eds.), *Proc. 1st Jord. Geol. Conf.*, Amman: 460-482.
45. Shapira, A., 1983. A probabilistic approach for evaluating earthquake risks, with application to the Afro-Eurasian junction. *Tectonophysics*, 91: 321-334.
46. Shapira, A., and L. Feldman, 1987. Microseismicity of three locations along the Jordan. *Tectonophysics*, 141: 89-94.
47. Shapira, A., 1988. Magnitude scales for regional earthquakes monitored in Israel. *Isr. J. Earth Sci.*, 37: 17-22.

48. Stossel F., and Boss C., 2007. Regional Disaster Risk Reduction Programme, Jordan/Syria/Lebanon 2008-2010, published by the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), Humanitarian Aid Department, Ch-3003 Bern.
49. Tucker B., G. Trumbull, and S. Wyss, 1994. Some Remarks Concerning Worldwide Urban Earthquake Hazard and Earthquake Hazard Mitigation, In Issues in Urban Earthquake Risk, edited by B.E. Tucker et al. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
50. UNESCO, 1995. Participation in Structural Upgrading, Project: Training Material for Disaster Reduction, Delft, Netherlands.
51. United Nations, 2004. International strategy for Disaster Reduction ISDR, living with Risk– A global review of disaster reduction initiatives, 2004 version, Vo1. II Annexes, Geneva, Switzerland.
52. United Nations, 2006. International Strategy for Disaster Reduction ISDR (2006),"words Into Action: Implementing the Hyogo Framework for Action", documents for consultation, draft, Nov.
53. Villacis C., and C. Cardona, 2000. Case Studies in Latin America, RADIUS: RiskAssessment Tools for Diagnosis of Urban Areas Against Seismic Disasters. Geneva, Switzerland: IDNDR Secretariat, United Nations.
54. Weber, M., K. Abu-Ayyash, A. Abueladas, A. Agnon, H. Al-Amoush, A. Babeyko, Y. Bartov, M. Baumann, Z. Ben-Avraham, G. Bock, J., El-Kelani R., Förster A., H-J. Förster, U. Frieslander, Z. Garfunkel, S. Grunewald, H-J. Götze, V. Haak, Ch. Haberland, M. Hassouneh, S. Helwig, A. Hofstetter, K-H. Jäckel, D. Kesten, R. Kind, N. Maercklin, J. Mechie, A. Mohsen, F.M. Neubauer, R. Oberhänsli, I. Qabbani, O. Ritter, G. Rümpker, M. Rybakov, T. Ryberg, F. Scherbaum, J. Schmidt, A. Schulze, S. Sobolev, M. Stiller, H. Thoss, U. Weckmann and K. Wylegalla, 2004. The crustal structure of the Dead Sea Transform. Geophys. J. Int., Vol.156: 655-681.
55. USAID-MERC Project Team (M18-057), 2004. "Earthquake Hazard Assessment and Building Code", regional project: Final report, Earth Sciences and Seismic Engineering Center at An Najah National University.
56. UNRWA reports 2007: www.unrwa.org/arabic/refugees.